REMARKS

Please cancel claims 1-36 without prejudice. Claims 37-69 are currently pending

in the present Application. The Office Action indicated that claims 1-69 are allowable

over the art of record. Applicant is appreciative to the Examiner for the indication of

allowable subject matter.

I. Claim Objections

The Office Action objected to claims 1-69 on the basis of the use of the "adapted

to" phrase. Applicant notes that the objection was not a rejection based on any of the

patentability requirements set forth by the U.S. Patent Laws (35 U.S.C. § 1 et seq.). As

noted above, Applicant has cancelled claims 1-36. In order to move the present

Application forward to issuance, Applicant has removed the objected-to phrase from

claims 37-69. Removal of the "adapted to" language does not change the scope of the

claims and was not made in response to a rejection of patentability.

Per the Examiner's request, claim 60 has been amended to clarify the acronym

"AHP."

II. 35 U.S.C. § 101

The Office Action rejected claims 1-36 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being directed

to non-statutory subject matter. While Applicant respectfully traverses this basis of

rejection, claims 1-36 have been cancelled in order to move the present Application

forward to issuance. Applicant respectfully reserves the right to pursue patent

protection for claims 1-36 at another time.

Page 12 of 13

Application No. 10/771,709 Amendment of Mar. 20, 2009 Office Action of Feb. 20, 2009

III. Conclusion

As all outstanding issues have been addressed, favorable action by the Examiner is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned in an effort to discuss and resolve any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP.

/s Jeremy C. Whitley/ Jeremy C. Whitley Registration No. 58,775 ip@nelsonmullins.com 1320 Main Street | Suite 1700

Columbia, South Carolina 29201 Direct.803.255.9764

Fax.803.255.9831